



## Recommendation

- Progress is satisfactory or requires minor revisions on submitted chapters, and the student should proceed as indicated above.
  
- The student is advised that progress on their work is less than satisfactory and that specific improvements should be demonstrated within the next 4 months when the committee will reconvene and may recommend that the student's registration in the program be terminated. Students with **two consecutive years** of unsatisfactory performance will be asked to leave the program.
  
- In view of the lack of satisfactory progress, the Advisory Committee recommends that the candidate's registration in the program be terminated.

The committee will next meet on \_\_\_\_\_ (tentative date).

<b>Student's Comments:</b>	
<b>Signature of the Student:</b>	<b>Date:</b>
<b>Signature of the Supervisor:</b>	<b>Date:</b>

Note on departmental practice: Dissertation committees should start using this form in Yr 3 after the student's qualifying exams. The student and supervisor should see each other regularly. The responsibility for keeping in touch rests with the student, but the supervisor should be reasonably available and will arrange a meeting of the student and the Advisory Committee at least once a year. The student usually submits work to the supervisor in chapters, or in other segments. The student has the right to receive these chapters back, with comments, within **six weeks**. Before proceeding to the FOE, the supervisor will read the whole work in its near-final form, and the student ensures that the final copy submitted to the Department is **thoroughly proofread**.